This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and
content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733

IEEE Access
Multidisciplinary | Rapid Review | Open Access Journal

Date of publication xxxx 00, 0000, date of current version xxxx 00, 0000.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.Doi Number

Data Driven Surrogate Modelling of Phase Array Antennas Using Deep Learning For Millimetric Band Applications

Mehmet Akif Tulum[1,2], Ahmet Serdar Turk[1] and Peyman Mahouti[1]
[1]Department of Electronic and Communication Engineering, Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
[2]Department of R&D Antenna and RF , Neta Electronics Inc, Umraniye, Istanbul, Turkey

Corresponding author: Mehmet Akif Tulum (e-mail: akif.tulum@std.yildiz.edu.tr).

The authors would like to thank NETA Electronics Inc. for the opportunity to use the powerful design tool CST Microwave Studio. All financial support for the production and measurement was covered by NETA Electronics Inc. This research has been supported by TUBITAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey). Project number: 3221353.

ABSTRACT Phased Array Antenna (PAA) technology plays an important role in fields such as radar, 5G and satellite or any application which requires wide bandwidth and high gain. However, achieving such design is a difficult and complex task that requires an accurate calculation and combination of results obtained for varying phase and amplitudes of each unit and coupling effects between these elements of the PAA structure is a task that can only be obtained using full wave EM simulation tools. This comes at the price of a significant increase for the computational cost of design process which is a well-known drawback of forward EM modelling of microwave stages most especially in case of repetitive analysis's such as yield analyses or optimization tasks. Data-driven surrogate models have emerged as a powerful and versatile solution that bridges the gap between computationally expensive simulations and rapid, reliable prediction models suitable for deployment in applications such as optimization and/or yield analyses. Herein, for having a high-performance broadband PAA for millimeter band in a computationally efficient manner, artificial intelligence based surrogate model assisted optimization approach is deployed. A series of state-of-the-art surrogate modelling algorithms are deployed to create a surrogate model of the studied PAA design for prediction of radiation pattern characteristic with respect to the input phase values of each array element. As a result, a drastic reduction in computational time of almost 90% for the optimization of three PAA designs is achieved. Thus, the proposed approach offers promising avenues for further exploration in computational electromagnetics, most especially in simulation expensive problems with complex designs.

INDEX TERMS Artificial Intelligence, Data Driven Modelling, Surrogate Modelling, Optimization, Phased Array Antenna

I. INTRODUCTION

Phased Array Antenna (PAA) technology plays an important role in the field of antenna technologies. While the PAA technology is constantly improving, it is also used extensively in applications such as radar, 5G and satellite [1-7]. In particular, phased array antenna designs that provide wide bandwidth and high gain in millimeter band have many fields of study. For example, air, aircraft and defence radars, Ka band satellite communications, 5G radio link communications, etc. [8-14]. Satellite communication technology in the millimeter band has a lower cost of satellite service purchase and a higher data rate, especially compared to other frequencies [15-17]. Therefore, Ka band comes to the fore, especially in the field of satellite communication. PAA designs are usually performed on the PCB in different antenna types such as patch, bow tie, Vivaldi, etc. [18-22]. One of the most important factors in choosing patch antennas is that they can be used with parasitic structures. Thus, unit antenna cells with high bandwidth and efficiency can be formed. This is especially advantageous in providing broadband and high gain, which is usually specified as whether in millimetric bands [23-25].

While designing the PAA, the goal is to design an antenna that provides broadband and high efficiency that can be used for wide range of applications in the millimeter band. However, having such design is a difficult and complex task. Accurate calculation and combination of results obtained for

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4

This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and
content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733


varying phase and amplitudes of each unit and coupling effects between these elements of the PAA structure is a task that can only be obtained using full wave EM simulation tools. This comes at the price of a significant increase for the computational cost of design process which is a well-known drawback of forward EM modelling of microwave stages [26].

In the field of computational-science and engineering, with the increasing complexity and high dimensionality of engineering problems, the need for having efficient and highly accurate modelling approaches have become a topic that being persuaded by many researchers [27]. As it mentioned before, traditional simulation-based models such as full wave EM simulators often requires high computational resources (RAM, CPU power etc.) and simulation time which creates a significant challenge for time sensitive, decision making, process requires repetitive analysis's such as yield analyses or optimization tasks [28]. An efficient solution method for this challenge is data-driven surrogate modelling. Data-driven surrogate models have emerged as a powerful and versatile solution that bridges the gap between computationally expensive simulations and rapid, reliable prediction models suitable for deployment in applications such as optimization and/or yield analyses [29-30]. Surrogate models, also known as metamodels are approximate representations of the underlying systems, providing valuable insights into their behavior while significantly reducing the computational burden. By leveraging data obtained from a limited number of simulations or experiments, these models facilitate the exploration of vast design spaces and enable efficient optimization, uncertainty quantification, and sensitivity analysis. Thus, it is a significantly more efficient method to perform optimization management over data driven surrogate models most especially models based on artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms such as artificial neural networks [31- 39] or deep learning algorithms [40-48], instead of EM solvers. The data-driven surrogate model is used by many researchers for many applications such as parameter tuning [49-50], statistical analysis [25-53] and multi objective design [54-58]. Some examples from the literature, for application of AI based surrogate models are polynomial regression [59], Kriging interpolation [60], radial basis functions [61], support vector regression [62], and polynomial chaos expansion [63-66], one of the commonly used techniques is artificial neural networks (ANNs) [31].

Herein, for having a high-performance broad band PAA for millimetre band in a computational efficient manner, an AI based surrogate model assisted optimization approach is deployed via use of EM solver-based training samples. First, the unit antenna and PAA design was carried out by means of a 3D EM simulation program. Then, a data set was created for PAA in line with the phase and amplitude information. In Section 2, the novel ANN-based surrogate modeling and optimization processes of the 2x2 PAA are determined to obtain the desired combined output depending on the phase and amplitude variables. In Section 3, experimental studies on optimized PAA design are indicated. The surrogate model is also used alongside a metaheuristic optimization algorithm to achieve the desired PAA design. Section 4 explains the fabrication and measurement results. Finally, general information was given about the results of the study.

II. GENERATION ULTRA-WIDE MILLIMETRIC BAND PHASED ARRAY ANTENNA DESIGN


While designing the PAA, it was aimed to design an antenna that provides broadband and high antenna efficiency that can be used for many applications in the millimeter band. In this direction, a high-gain and very broadband antenna design has been realized by using a traditional patch antenna with circular polarity and a parasitic element using Rogers 4350B material. The most important design parameters while performing the PAA design are unit and combined gain efficiency and impedance compatibility of each unit element with the connector, operating bandwidth in millimeter band and substrate selection and mechanical sensitivity. PAA was made from circular patches on the main and parasitic PCB with 4 outputs. Bandwidth and gain are increased thanks to parasitic patches. It also has a 50-ohm feed line and ground plane to get the outputs of each unit element through the connector. There is an air gap between both patches and this gap is optimized according to the resonance frequency of the circular patch on the main PCB. Both main and parasitic PCB were designed with RO4003 0.254mm. The steering of the main beam in different directions is achieved by feeding the excitation signals at the ports with different phases. Each patch element is fed by a supply line with a quarter wave transformer in between for impedance matching. The black box model is in Fig. 1 (a) and the flow chart of the proposed antenna design is given in Fig. 1 (b). First, the unit circular polarity patch antenna design was realized. As a result of the optimization, main and parasitic element patch antenna dimensions and air gap distance were determined. The resulting dimensions are $G_1 = G_2=12$, $M_1 = P_1=2.8$, $S_1=0.4$, $S_2=0.6$, $L_1=1$, $L_2=1.524$, $L_3=2.25$, $W_1=0.14$, $W_2=0.31$, $W_3=0.56$, $A_1=0.735$ mm. The operation frequency of K-band is between 26-35 GHz. The gain of unit antenna is about 7 dBi and return loss of is better than -6 dB at whole operation band. The unit antenna 3D model and S_{11} result are presented in Fig. 2 (a) and Fig. 2 (b).



(a)

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4


This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733




(b)

FIGURE 1. (a) Black box representation, (b) Flow chart of the proposed antenna design


In the next stage, the PAA design by using the designed unit antenna element is done with 2 x 2 configuration. The 3D model of PAA is in Fig. 2 (c). The working bandwidth was preserved as it was in the unit antenna. Here, it is worth mentioning that the patch antenna, slot dimensions, air distance and feed line widths are the same as the unit antenna. The return loss of each port of the PAA antenna is shown in Fig. 2 (d). The distance from the center to center of each patch antennas is D_1=11 mm. The distance between the centers of the patch antennas corresponds to about half of the wavelength of the center frequency (30.5 GHz). PAA simulation, time domain finite integration was applied in CST with a transient solvent using the method. In addition, the radiation patterns of the antenna are shown in Fig. 2(e-f) with randomly selected values in the range of phase angles determined in the data set, both on the azimuth and elevation axis.




(a)




(b)



(c)




(d)



(e)

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4

This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and
content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733



(f)

FIGURE 2. Schematic of (a) unit antenna, (b) PAA design; S_{11} characteristic of (c) unit antenna, (d) PAA design; Radiation pattern with randomly selected phase angle for (e) azimuth, (f) elevation plane.

The PAA structure consists of a 2x2 PAA structure and is intended to be used as a feeder antenna for many applications such as the feeding of reflector antennas, Radar systems, and radio link systems for 5G applications. The studied PAA is modelled in a 3D EM simulation program (CST MWS) designed for 5G and radar applications in millimeter band and ultra wide range as shown in Fig. 2. Here it should be emphasized that determination of optimal phase and magnitude value for this PAA is a complex and time-consuming procedure due to the nature of 3D EM simulators which make the whole design process a computationally expensive design problem [28]. Thus, although it is a multi-dimension optimization problem, it is not feasible or computationally efficient procedure to be solved via the traditional direct full wave EM simulator assisted optimization search protocols.

TABLE 1. Data set design variables and limits

Variable	*Min.*	*Max.*	*Sample Step*
Antenna-1 Phase	0	315	45
Antenna-2 Phase	0	315	45
Antenna-3 Phase	0	315	45
Antenna-4 Phase	0	315	45
Theta Angle	-90	90	1
Phi Angle	0	90	90

Herein, for solving this computationally expensive optimization problem an Artificial Intelligence base surrogate model assisted optimization procedure is taking under consideration. Application of surrogate models for design and optimization of high-performance microwave stages is an efficient method that being used by many researchers for different type of designs with promising results [56]. The proposed surrogate model will create a mapping between the input (input phase of each unit antenna) and the radiation characteristic of the PAA design in Fig. 2(e) and Fig. 2(f). For this mean in Table 1, design variable of surrogate model is presented. The training and validation data sets required for creating surrogate model will be generated based on the given lower and upper limits in Table 1. For this study the amplitude value of each antenna feed is taken as unity. Each unit antenna can take a total of 8 different angles between 0 and 315 degrees with 45-degree phase difference intervals. A total of 4096 (8×8×8×8) training data were obtained. Since the output of each combined data was analysed at all theta angles and the theta angle range was taken as 1 degree, a data set consisting of 741.376 (4096×181) lines was created for the 26 GHz frequency. Within the scope of this study, a data set was created for a single frequency first.

The 3D EM simulation studies were performed using Finite Integration Technique, the selected PAA design has a mesh size of approximately 1,366,767 Cells per wavelength & max model box edge= 20, Fraction of maximum cell near to model=20, using a simulation setup with following specs: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-6700K CPU @ 4.00GHz, with 32 GB installed memory. The simulation time was around 17 minutes. To obtain the combined pattern of the 4-element antenna design with the desired input phase angles, the combined results feature of the EM simulation program CST is used. Thus, the desired phase values were given to each port in equal amplitude (here taken as unity) and a data set was created. Thanks to this feature of CST, the far-field results of each combined pattern were obtained around 2 minutes for generating the samples for data sets.


III. DATA DRIVEN SURROGATE MODEL ASSISTED DESIGN OPTIMIZATION

As can be observed, from Fig. 2 (e-f) and antenna array theory, with variation of phase of each unit element the radiation pattern is changed. In this section by using the data sets generated in previous section a data driven surrogate model of proposed PAA is generated via different type of AI algorithm. For this mean, series of traditional and state of the art algorithms Multi-layer Perceptron with single and two hidden layers, Ensemble Learning (EL), a deep learning-based AI network Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Modified Multi-layer Perceptron (M2LP) [43] with depth size of one and two are taken into considerations. A crucial design step in surrogate modeling is tuning of surrogate model hyper-parameters [67] that can significantly affect the prediction accuracy of models. In this work, these parameters are determined via Bayesian optimization approach [68]. For each of the surrogate models based on a K-fold cross validation with K=3 where whole data is shuffled and divided into two equal partitions is created. In Table II, the Mean Absolute Error (MAE) metric is used to evaluate generalization capabilities of these surrogates between the predicted radiation gain values at given inputs


This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4

This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and
content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733


vs. the simulated value from CST using K-fold validation. As can be seen from Table II, M2LP model achieves highest accuracy and precision performance with respect to both average and minimum obtained MAE metrics. For illustration of these performance responses, the radiation characteristic belonging to CST simulated results, M2LP prediction and CNN for three design cases is presented in Fig. 3.




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

FIGURE 3. Radiation characteristics of (a) Case I [225º, 135º, 90º, 0º], (b) Case II [315º, 180º, 0º, 315º], (c) Case III [30º, 0º,180º, 244º], (d) Case IV [147 º, 0 º, 180 º, 296º] designs; EM-simulated versus M2LP and CNN predicted @ θ=0º, 26 GHz.

It is worth noting that while investigating optimization algorithms for the purpose of optimizing microwave antenna designs is a challenging and valuable research area, the present study does not focus on the speed or convergence rate of these methods. The primary objective of this study is to introduce a computationally efficient data-driven-surrogate modeling technique. The proposed approach is aimed at enhancing the overall design optimization process by minimizing the convergence time of the optimization algorithms in relation to the total computational time required for the entire process using AI based regression approach. Here for the studied optimization problem, usage of any techniques at least would require at least 1500-2000 function evaluations (such as Trust-Region algorithm or, Particle Swarm Optimization). This means that the optimization process would requires almost 425 Hours (1500×17 (total number of function evaluation × average simulation time of each antenna design in minutes)) using direct EM optimization approach for each and every aimed design case. Let us assumes that designer would requires two different design cases, thus for these two different cases the total required computational time using traditional approach would be around 1275 Hours (3×425).

In the proposed approach although generation of 4096 samples is a heavy computational cost, which is the main cost in surrogate models known as initialization cost, the total time required for creating a model would be around 136.5 Hours (4096×2 [Minutes]) even by using the combined results feature of CST. However, once the surrogate model is trained the simulation time required to predict the radiation

Table 2. Surrogate model and their performance measures (MAE±standard deviation) for 10 different runs

Design Parameter	Hyper-parameters	MAE		Average Training Time [Mniutes]
		Average ± std	Best of 10 runs	
MLP	Layer size 2, Neuron size 20-30, Bayesian regularization method	1.34±0.82	0.7082	4.8
EL	LSBoost, Learning rate 0.18, Min Leaf size 24	1.79±0.04	1.7531	1.9
CNN	Layer size 4, Neuron size 64-128-256-512, optimizer adam, batch normalization 1000, epoch 1500	0.87±0.6	0.6296	9.8
M2LP	Depth size 2, Initial neuron size 32, optimizer adam, batch normalization 1000, epoch 1000	0.32±0.01	0.3102	6.4


This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4

This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and
content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733


characteristic for the given inputs response time of surrogate model is less than 0. 1 seconds. Thus, prediction of thousands of different designs cases/ solution candidates would require a computational time of less than two minutes which is less than a single simulation run of traditional approach in EM simulation model. To briefly summarize acceleration of design optimization process for studied problem following example can be assumed. Let us assume that three PAA designs are aimed to achieve with different radiation characteristics. In the case of optimization of these designs at least 1500 function evaluation for each design (4500 function evaluation in total) is required for having a global local solution. In case of using traditional direct EM simulation tool approach this process would requires approximately 1275 hours (3×425 [Hours]), while in case of using the proposed data-driven surrogate modeling approach, the total required time is no more than 138 Hours (including, the initialization cost 136.5 Hours + the time required for training the surrogate models less than 1 hour + 4500 of function evaluations for optimization process which is approximately less than 8 minute [4500×0.1 [seconds]]) in total. Thus, the overall computational cost for optimization of three cases of studied PAA is reduced by almost 90.0%. It is worth noting that there are alternative data-driven surrogate techniques, such as inverse modeling [69] that can be employed to achieve designs with superior performance. Nevertheless, it is important to acknowledge that these methodologies do have certain limitations. One such drawback is the restricted Design of Freedom (DOF) for problem variables. This means that a given desired performance outcome may have multiple potential solutions, sometimes even dozens, resulting in non-uniqueness difficulties. Specifically, the model's ability to generate distinct responses may be compromised as a result of its inability to appropriately alter the weighting coefficients for identical inputs with varying outcomes. As a result, the designer must eliminate or assign fixed values to certain design variables in order to decrease the degrees of freedom and performance of the achievable ideal design. The direct modeling approach remains unaffected by the aforementioned challenges related to uniqueness. However, a drawback of forward-modelling-based approaches is the requirement for frequently time-consuming model optimization in order to ascertain the ideal solution for the desired performance outcome. However, in cases when the forward model is computationally inexpensive, such as in this study, the aforementioned issue has been successfully mitigated, resulting in a negligible cost for design optimization. Given that each function evaluation anticipated by the model requires less than one millisecond, it is evident that an optimization process consisting of 10,000 objective function calls would be completed in less than one minute. This duration is equivalent to the time required for a single EM analysis of the individual design.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, to demonstrate the validation and applicability of the proposed data-driven surrogate modelling approach experimental results of an optimally designed PAA using M2LP is studied. In Fig. 4, the prototype PAA antenna alongside of measured and predicted radiation characteristic of antenna is presented. The production and measurement of the antenna was carried out in the NETA Elektronic Inc. laboratory. A network analyzer was used for all measurements. Rohde-Schwarz ZNB is a two-port device that can measure up to 40 GHz. First of all, the return losses (S_{11}) of the antenna were measured with a network analyzer and compared with the design results. 4x50 ohm 2.92 mm K connector is used as connector. The comparative S_{11} results of the simulation and measurement are given in Fig. 4 (b). For justification of prediction accuracy of surrogate model compared to experimental results, for three cases with varying input phases (these values are not included in the generated data in Table 1) the measured, simulated and predicted radiation characteristics are shown in Fig. 4 (c-d), the radiation characteristic measurements were measured at far-field distance under laboratory conditions. As it can be observed form the results the proposed approach is not only a computationally efficient method for design optimization or repetitively analyses, but also has a high accuracy and precision rates. As for a further analysis on the studied PAA design a detailed performance comparison prototyped PAA with counterpart design in the literature are shown in Table 3.




(a)




(b)

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4

This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and
content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733



(c)



(d)

FIGURE 4. (a) The prototype 2x2 PAA; (b) measured S-parameter results; measured radiation pattern versus surrogate models' predictions @ 26 GHz for (c) Case III [30°, 0°, 180°, 244°], (d) Case IV [147 °, 0°, 180°, 296°].

initial heavy computational cost, demonstrated immense efficiency in design optimization and/or repetitive analyses. Experimental results further showcased the effectiveness and accuracy of this approach. The comparison of the surrogate model predictions with measured results depicted high precision rates, corroborating the model's capability. Moreover, comparisons with existing literature affirmed the success of the proposed PAA design. This study thus indicates that the integration of AI and surrogate modelling in antenna design is a potent solution, streamlining the design process, enhancing computational efficiency, and ensuring high-accuracy results. This approach offers promising avenues for further exploration in computational electromagnetics most especially in simulation expensive problems with complex designs. In feature work authors aims to further improve the computational efficiency of this approach based on reduction of initialization cost using novel sampling approaches to achieve similar surrogate performance with smaller training data sets. In this endeavor it is worth mentioning that reducing the number of training data would reduce the accuracy of the model however this reduction can be minimized with more strategically sampling approaches that could provide more information on the problem domain even with lesser sample sizes.

Table 3. Antenna performance comparison table

	f [GHz]	Array Size	BW	Gain [dBi]	Size [λ_0]	Scan Range	Parasitic Element
This Work*	26-35	2x2	30%	13	4.27x4.27	60°	Used
[10]#	27.55-28.59	1x4	3%	10	0.34x1.36	50°	Not Used
[11]#	34-36	16x16	5%	28.6	N/A	45°	Not Used
[12]*	30-40	8x8	28%	25	3.84x6.56	30°	Not Used
[20]#	16-17	1x8	11%	11	3.68x7.3	75°	Not Used
[22]#	24-30	5x5	22%	18	N/A	50°	Used
[64]#	24-30	2x8	22%	12.7	5.31x0.756x0.121	35°	Not Used
[70]*	24-30	19x16	22%	14.5	5.3x4.5x0.54	38°	Not Used
[71]#	38	91x91	2.63%	23	20x20	30°	Not Used

Experimental Results, # Simulation Results

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, for having a high-performance broad band PAA for millimetre band in a computational efficient manner, an AI based surrogate model assisted optimization approach is deployed via use of EM solver-based training samples. First, PAA design was carried out by means of a 3D EM simulation program. Then, a data set was created for each unit antenna in line with the phase and amplitude information. Then series of state-of-the-art surrogate modelling algorithms are deployed to create a surrogate model of the 2x2 PAA design for prediction of radiation pattern characteristic with respect to the input phase values of each array element. In this work, the key achievement lies in the drastic reduction in computational time. This time saving is exemplified by a 90.0% decrease in time for the optimization of three PAA designs [1275 hours vs. 138 Hours]. This surrogate modelling approach, despite the

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank NETA Electronics Inc. for the opportunity to use the powerful design tool CST Microwave Studio. All financial support for the production and measurement was covered by NETA Electronics Inc. This research has been supported by TUBITAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey). Project number: 3221353.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Jihao, "Antenna on board package for 5G millimeter wave phased array antenna," 2020 21st International Conference on Electronic Packaging Technology (ICEPT), 2020, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/ICEPT50128.2020.9202652.

[2] K. Hu, X. He and M. M. Tentzeris, "Flexible and Scalable Additively Manufactured Antenna Array Tiles for Satellite and 5G Applications Using A Novel Rugged Microstrip-to-Microstrip Transition," 2021 IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation and

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4

This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and
content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733

USNC-URSI Radio Science Meeting (APS/URSI), 2021, pp. 37-38, doi: 10.1109/APS/URSI47566.2021.9704748.

[3] D. Vollbracht, "Optimum phase excitations and probe- feed positions inside antenna arrays for the reduction of Cross Polarization radiation in demanding phased array weather radar applications," 2016 10th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP), 2016, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/EuCAP.2016.7481625.

[4] W. Swelam, A. A. Mitkees and M. M. Ibrahim, "Wideband planar phased array antenna at Ku frequency-band for synthetic aperture radars and radar-guided missiles tracking and detection," 2006 IEEE Conference on Radar, 2006, pp. 6 pp.-, doi: 10.1109/RADAR.2006.1631793.

[5] I. Nachev and P. Z. Petkov, "Radar Antenna Array Designing for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV)," 2020 55th International Scientific Conference on Information, Communication and Energy Systems and Technologies (ICEST), 2020, pp. 207-210, doi: 10.1109/ICEST49890.2020.9232673.

[6] H. . -T. Zhang, W. Wang, M. . -P. Jin and X. . -P. Lu, "An active phased array antenna for broadband mobile satellite communications at ka-band," 2016 CIE International Conference on Radar (RADAR), 2016, pp. 1-3, doi: 10.1109/RADAR.2016.8059451.

[7] K. Y. Kapusuz, Ö. A. Civi and A. G. Yarovoy, "A dual-band wide-angle scanning phased array antenna in K/Ka bands for satellite-on-the-move applications," 2017 11th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EUCAP), 2017, pp. 1898-1902, doi: 10.23919/EuCAP.2017.7928682.

[8] W. -M. Zou, R. -L. Xia, Q. Wang, M. Jin and L. Chen, "Broadband Millimeter-Wave Microstrip Array for 5G Application," 2020 IEEE International Conference on Computational Electromagnetics (ICCEM), 2020, pp. 241-242, doi: 10.1109/ICCEM47450.2020.9219539.

[9] H. Nigam, M. Mathur and M. Arora, "Phased Array Microstrip Antenna for 5G Applications with Enhanced Bandwidth," 2021 IEEE Indian Conference on Antennas and Propagation (InCAP), 2021, pp. 950-952, doi: 10.1109/InCAP52216.2021.9726386.

[10] Z. Zhao, Y. Zhu and C. Deng, "Microstrip Phased Array Antenna With Small Element Space for 5G Millimeter-Wave Applications," 2020 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Electronic Information and Communication Technology (ICEICT), 2020, pp. 620-622, doi: 10.1109/ICEICT51264.2020.9334191.

[11] J. Zhou, K. Jiang, Z. Zhang and K. Xu, "Design of a Millimeter-Wave High-Gain Wide-Angle Scanning Phased Array Antenna," 2020 Cross Strait Radio Science & Wireless Technology Conference (CSRSWTC), 2020, pp. 1-3, doi: 10.1109/CSRSWTC50769.2020.9372652.

[12] R. Xiao, Y. Shi and M. -C. Tang, "Multimode based, Broadband and Small Size Antenna, for Ka-band Phased Array Applications," 2022 IEEE Conference on Antenna Measurements and Applications (CAMA), 2022, pp. 1-3, doi: 10.1109/CAMA56352.2022.10002651.

[13] J. Zhao, C. Fu, H. Li, F. Li and X. Hu, "A Single-Layer Broadband Ka-Band Reflectarray Using Novel Windmill Elements," in IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 70, no. 11, pp. 11167-11171, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2022.3191442.

[14] S. Jin, D. Liu, Z. Cui, C. Mei, Y. Yang and B. Wu, "A Scalable Ka-Band 256-Element Transmit Dual-Circularly-Polarized Planar Phased Array for SATCOM Application," 2022 International Symposium on Networks, Computers and Communications (ISNCC), 2022, pp. 1-4, doi:

[15] G. Boccolini, M. Luise, B. Garnier and J. -M. Merour, "Bringing multimedia services to digital-divide end-users with smart 2-way satellite technologies: The UNIC EC FP6 project," 2008 IEEE International Workshop on Satellite and Space Communications, Toulouse, France, 2008, pp. 150-154, doi: 10.1109/IWSSC.2008.4656772.

[16] J. Zheng, C. Shan, L. Fei, J. Chang and Q. Li, "Design of Data Acquisition Service Platform Based on Satellite Mobile Communication," 2020 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Electronics and Communication Engineering (ICECE), Xi'An, China, 2020, pp. 18-21, doi: 10.1109/ICECE51594.2020.9353013.

[17] M. Koletta, M. Poulakis, G. Tsalmas and P. Constantinou, "The Effect of Mobility on The Interference Calculations between the Mobile Satellite Service and the Fixed Service," 2007 8th International Conference on Telecommunications in Modern Satellite, Cable and Broadcasting Services, Nis, Serbia and Montenegro, 2007, pp. 230-233, doi: 10.1109/TELSKS.2007.4375980.

[18] D. Oueslati, A. Ghalib, R. K. Arya, H. Rmilli and R. Mittra, "Microstrip-fed Scanned Dipole Antenna Array for 5G Applictions," 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation and USNC-URSI Radio Science Meeting, 2019, pp. 37-38, doi: 10.1109/APUSNCURSINRSM.2019.8888720.

[19] R. Elmahraoui, R. Rais and T. Mourabit, "A New Endfire Phased Array based on Vivaldi Antenna for 5G Applications," 2021 IEEE 19th International Symposium on Antenna Technology and Applied Electromagnetics (ANTEM), 2021, pp. 1-2, doi: 10.1109/ANTEM51107.2021.9519003.

[20] N. Ojaroudiparchin, Ming Shen and G. F. Pedersen, "Beam-steerable microstrip-fed bow-tie antenna array for fifth generation cellular communications," 2016 10th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP), 2016, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/EuCAP.2016.7481156.

[21] Z. Zhang and J. Li, "Millimeter Wave Broadband Microstrip Antenna with Coaxial Feed for Phased Array Applications," 2021 International Applied Computational Electromagnetics Society (ACES-China) Symposium, 2021, pp. 1-2, doi: 10.23919/ACES-China52398.2021.9582101.

[22] H. Zhang and A. Shamim, "Wideband and Wide Beam-Scanning Phased Array Antenna Design for 5G Applications," 2021 IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation and USNC-URSI Radio Science Meeting (APS/URSI), 2021, pp. 339-340, doi: 10.1109/APS/URSI47566.2021.9704357.

[23] N. Sielck, T. Schwiers, K. Erkelenz, A. Koelpin and A. F. Jacob, "Self-Diplexing. Dual-Polarized Ka-Band SIW Slot Antenna with Integrated K-Band Patch," 2022 14th German Microwave Conference (GeMiC), 2022, pp. 172-175.

[24] Nasimuddin, X. Qing and Z. N. Chen, "A wideband circularly polarized antenna for low mutual coupling Ka-band phased arrays," 2016 IEEE Region 10 Conference (TENCON), 2016, pp. 1065-1067, doi: 10.1109/TENCON.2016.7848170.

[25] M. S. Castañer, J. M. Fernández González, M. S. Pérez, A. T. Domínguez and A. M. Barrado, "Ka band active array antenna for mobile satellite communications," 2016 International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation (ISAP), 2016, pp. 128-129.

[26] M. U. Afzal, A. A. Qureshi, M. A. Tarar and T. Tauqeer, "Analysis, design, and simulation of phased array radar front-end," 2011 7th International Conference on Emerging Technologies, Islamabad, Pakistan, 2011, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICET.2011.6048452.

[27] M. U. Afzal, A. A. Qureshi, M. A. Tarar and T. Tauqeer, "Modeling and simulation of an X-band planar phased array antenna," 2011 China-Japan Joint Microwave Conference, Hangzhou, China, 2011, pp. 1-4.

[28] G. F. Ricciardi, J. R. Connelly, H. A. Krichene and M. T. Ho, "A Fast-Performing Error Simulation of Wideband Radiation Patterns for Large Planar Phased Arrays With Overlapped Subarray Architecture," in IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1779-1788, April 2014, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2013.2255576.

[29] A. Pietrenko-Dabrowska, S. Koziel , "Fast EM-driven parameter tuning of microwave circuits with sparse sensitivity updates via principal directions, " *Knowledge-Based Systems*, Sept 27;252:109388. doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2022.109388.

[30] S. Koziel, A. Pietrenko-Dabrowska and U. Ullah, "Tolerance-Aware Optimization of Microwave Circuits by Means of Principal Directions and Domain-Restricted Metamodels," in *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 4085-4093, Sept. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TMTT.2022.3193405.

[31] L. -Y. Xiao, W. Shao, F. -L. Jin and B. -Z. Wang, "Multiparameter Modeling With ANN for Antenna Design," in *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 3718-3723, July 2018, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2018.2823775.

[32] M. Moshtaghzadeh , E. Izadpanahi, P. Mardanpour, "Prediction of fatigue life of a flexible foldable origami antenna with Kresling pattern," *Engineering Structures*, vol.251, 113399.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4

This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and
content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733

[33] L. Klein, D. Seidl, J. Fulneček, L. Prokop, S. Misak, J. Dvorský, "Antenna contactless partial discharges detection in covered conductors using ensemble stacking neural networks," in Expert Systems with Applications. 213. 118910. 10.1016/j.eswa.2022.118910.

[34] Maurya, R.K., Kannaujia, B.K., Maurya, A.K., Prakash, R., "Design of DGS Compact UWB Antenna for C-, X-, Ku-, and Ka-Band Applications Using ANN and ANFIS Optimization Techniques," J. *Proceedings of First International Conference on Computational Electronics for Wireless Communications. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, vol 329. Springer, 2022, Singapore.

[35] P.U. Ashish, S.H. Gupta, " Optimization of ultra-wide band antenna by selection of substrate material using artificial neural network," 2022, *Appl. Phys. A*, vol.128, no.192.

[36] S. Roshani, S. Koziel, S.I. Yahya, M.A. Chaudhary, Y.Y. Ghadi, S. Roshani, and L. Golunski, "Mutual Coupling Reduction in Antenna Arrays Using Artificial Intelligence Approach and Inverse Neural Network Surrogates," 2023 *Sensors* 23, no. 16: 7089. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23167089

[37] S. Koziel, N. Çalık, P. Mahouti and M. A. Belen, "Reliable Computationally Efficient Behavioral Modeling of Microwave Passives Using Deep Learning Surrogates in Confined Domains," in *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 956-968, March 2023, doi: 10.1109/TMTT.2022.3218024.

[38] R. Schmitz, B. Hattenhorst, C. Baer, T. Musch and I. Rolfes, "Machine Learning based Surrogate Modeling for Wave Impedances in Rectangular Dielectric Waveguides," *2023 IEEE/MTT-S International Microwave Symposium - IMS 2023*, San Diego, CA, USA, 2023, pp. 85-88, doi: 10.1109/IMS37964.2023.10188047.

[39] Z. Liu, Z. Zhang, W. Zhang and H. Zhang, "Surrogate-Assisted Multi-State Tuning-Driven EM Optimization for Tunable Cavity Filter," *2023 IEEE MTT-S International Conference on Numerical Electromagnetic and Multiphysics Modeling and Optimization (NEMO)*, Winnipeg, MB, Canada, 2023, pp. 121-123, doi: 10.1109/NEMO56117.2023.10202461.

[40] M. M Khan, S. Hossain, P. Majumder, S. Akter, R.H. Ashique, "A review on machine learning and deep learning for various antenna design applications," 2022, *Heliyon*, vol. 8, e09317.

[41] Z. Zhou, Z. Wei, J. Ren, Y. Yin, G. F. Pedersen and M. Shen, "Two-Order Deep Learning for Generalized Synthesis of Radiation Patterns for Antenna Arrays," in *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TAI.2022.3192505.

[42] A. Belen, F. Günes, M. Palandoken, O. Tari, M.A. Belen, P. Mohouti, "3D EM data driven surrogate based design optimization of traveling wave antennas for beam scanning in X-band: an application example," 2022, *Wireless Netw*. vol. 28, pp.1827–1834.

[43] O.C. Piltan,A. Kizilay, M.A. Belen, P. Mahouti,"Data driven surrogate modeling of horn antennas for optimal determination of radiation pattern and size using deep learning," 2023, Microwave and Optical Technology Letters. n/a-n/a. 10.1002/mop.33702.

[44] P. Liu, L. Chen and Z. N. Chen, "Prior-Knowledge-Guided Deep-Learning-Enabled Synthesis for Broadband and Large Phase Shift Range Metacells in Metalens Antenna," in IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 70, no. 7, pp. 5024-5034, July 2022, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2021.3138517.

[45] K. Aliqab, A. Armghan and M. Alsharari, "Deep Learning based Microstrip Patch Array Antenna Structure for MIMO 5G Wireless Communication," *2023 International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing (IWCMC)*, Marrakesh, Morocco, 2023, pp. 386-389, doi: 10.1109/IWCMC58020.2023.10182537.

[46] P. Liu and Z. N. Chen, "Full-Range Amplitude–Phase Metacells for Sidelobe Suppression of Metalens Antenna Using Prior-Knowledge-Guided Deep- Learning -Enabled Synthesis," in *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 5036-5045, June 2023, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2023.3263929.

[47] K. Cao, C. Jin, B. Zhang, Q. Lv and F. Lu, "Beam Stabilization of Deformed Conformal Array Antenna Based on Physical- Method - Driven Deep Learning," in *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 71, no. 5, pp. 4115-4127, May 2023, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2023.3249348.

[48] Z. Zhou, Z. Wei, J. Ren, Y. Yin, G. F. Pedersen and M. Shen, "Transfer-Learning-Assisted Multielement Calibration for Active Phased Antenna Arrays," in *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 1982-1987, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2022.3216548.

[49] J. Wang, X.S. Yang, and B.Z. Wang, "Efficient gradient-based optimization of pixel antenna with large-scale connections," IET Microwaves Ant. Prop., vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 385-389, 2018.

[50] E. Hassan, D. Noreland, R. Augustine, E. Wadbro, and M. Berggren,"Topology optimization of planar antennas for wideband near-fieldcoupling," IEEE Trans. Ant. Prop., vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 4208-4213, 2015.

[51] J. S. Ochoa and A. C. Cangellaris, "Random-space dimensionality reduction for expedient yield estimation of passive microwave structures," IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Techn., vol. 61, no. 12, pp. 4313-4321, 2013.

[52] M. Rossi, A. Dierck, H. Rogier, and D. Vande Ginste, "A stochastic framework for the variability analysis of textile antennas," IEEE Trans. Ant. Prop., vol. 62, no. 16, pp. 6510-6514, 2014.

[53] J. Du, and C. Roblin, "Statistical modeling of disturbed antennas based on the polynomial chaos expansion," IEEE Ant. Wireless Prop. Lett., vol. 16, p. 1843-1847, 2017.

[54] J. A. Easum, J. Nagar, P. L. Werner, and D. H. Werner, "Efficient multiobjective antenna optimization with tolerance analysis through the use of surrogate models," IEEE Trans. Ant. Prop., vol. 66, no. 12, pp. 6706-6715, 2018.

[55] J. Dong, W. Qin, and M. Wang, "Fast multi-objective optimization of multi-parameter antenna structures based on improved BPNN surrogate model," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 77692-77701, 2019.

[56] S. Koziel, and A.T. Sigurdsson, "Multi-fidelity EM simulations and constrained surrogate modeling for low-cost multi-objective design optimization of antennas," IET Microwaves Ant. Prop., vol. 12, no. 13, pp. 2025-2029, 2018.

[57] A. Touhami, S. Collardey and A. Sharaiha, "Multi-objective optimization for efficient end-fire superdirective array design," *2023 International Workshop on Antenna Technology (iWAT)*, Aalborg, Denmark, 2023, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/iWAT57058.2023.10171658.

[58] A. Touhami, S. Collardey and A. Sharaiha, "Multi-objective optimization for efficient end-fire superdirective array design," *2023 International Workshop on Antenna Technology (iWAT)*, Aalborg, Denmark, 2023, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/iWAT57058.2023.10171658.

[59] J. L. Chávez-Hurtado, and J. E. Rayas-Sánchez, "Polynomial-based surrogate modeling of RF and microwave circuits in frequency domain exploiting the multinomial theorem," IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech., vol. 64, no. 12, pp. 4371-4381, 2016.

[60] N. V. Queipo, R. T. Haftka, W. Shyy, T. Goel, R. Vaidynathan, and P. K. Tucker, "Surrogate-based analysis and optimization," Progress in Aerospace Sciences, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 1-28, 2005.

[61] P. Barmuta, F. Ferranti, G.P. Gibiino, A. Lewandowski, and D.M.M.P. Schreurs, "Compact behavioral models of nonlinear active devices using response surface methodology," IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory and Tech., vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 56-64, 2015.

[62] J. Cai, J. King, C. Yu, J. Liu, and L. Sun, "Support vector regression based behavioral modeling technique for RF power transistors," IEEE Microwave and Wireless Comp. Lett., vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 428-430, 2018.

[63] A. Petrocchi, A. Kaintura, G. Avolio, D. Spina, T. Dhaene, A. Raffo, and D. M. P. -P. Schreurs, "Measurement uncertainty propagation in transistor model parameters via polynomial chaos expansion," IEEE Microwave Wireless Comp. Lett., vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 572-574, 2017.

[64] Y. Jiao, Q. Zhu, R. Ni and Q. S. Cheng, "A Multisurrogate-Assisted Optimization Framework for SSPP-Based mmWave Array Antenna," in IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 2938-2945, April 2023, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2023.3240239.

[65] Y. Bai, P. Gardner, Y. He and H. Sun, "A Surrogate Modeling Approach for Frequency-Reconfigurable Antennas," in *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 5498-5503, June 2023, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2023.3248446.

[66] K. Ravindran and K. J. Vinoy, "A Reduced-Order PCE-Based Time-Domain Method for Large Uncertainties," in *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 5158-5165, June 2023, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2023.3260585.

[67] Q. Wu, W. Chen, C. Yu, H. Wang and W. Hong, "Multilayer Machine Learning-Assisted Optimization-Based Robust Design and Its

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4

This article has been accepted for publication in IEEE Access. This is the author's version which has not been fully edited and content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324733

Applications to Antennas and Array," in IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 6052-6057, Sept. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2021.3069491.

[68] E. C. Garrido-Merchán, D. Fernández-Sánchez, D. Hernández-Lobato, "Parallel predictive entropy search for multi-objective Bayesian optimization with constraints applied to the tuning of machine learning algorithms," Expert Systems with Applications. 215. 119328. 10.1016/j.eswa.2022.119328.

[69] P. Naseri and S. V. Hum, "A Generative Machine Learning-Based Approach for Inverse Design of Multilayer Metasurfaces," in IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 69, no. 9, pp. 5725-5739, Sept. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2021.3060142.

[70] A. Goudarzi, M. M. Honari and R. Mirzavand, "A Millimeter-Wave Fabry–Perot Cavity Antenna With Unidirectional Beam Scanning Capability for 5G Applications," in *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 1787-1796, March 2022, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2021.3118796.

[71] M. S. Rabbani, J. Churm and A. P. Feresidis, "Continuous Beam-Steering Low-Loss Millimeter-Wave Antenna Based on a Piezo-Electrically Actuated Metasurface," in *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 2439-2449, April 2022, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2021.3137248.



MEHMET AKIF TULUM received the M.Sc. degree in Electronic and Communication engineering from the Yıldız Technical University in 2017. He is currently Ph.D candidate in Electronics and Communication engineering in the Yıldız Technical University and R&D engineeer in NETA Elektronik . His research interests phased array and reflector type antennas, omni and directional monopole antennas, active/passive microwave designs and metamaterial- based radome designs.



AHMET SERDAR TURK received his Ph.D. degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering from the Yıldız Technical University, Turkey, in 2001. He is currently a Professor in Yıldız Technical University, Turkey. The main research areas are Antenna design, RADAR, GPR and array antenna theory.



PEYMAN MAHOUTI received his M. Sc. And Ph.D. degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering from the Yıldız Technical University, Turkey, in 2013 and 2016, Respectivly. He is currently an Associated Professor in Yıldız Technical University, Turkey. The main research areas are analytical and numerical modelling of microwave devices, optimization techniques for microwave stages, and application of artificial intelligence-based algorithms. His research interests include analytical and numerical modelling of microwave and antenna structures, surrogate-based optimization, and application of artificial intelligence algorithms.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License. For more information, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4